

USITP-93-17
 hep-th/9308041

ON A NEW METHOD FOR COMPUTING TRACE ANOMALIES

FIorenzo BASTIANELLI

*Institute for Theoretical Physics, Stockholm University
 Box 6730, S-113 85 Stockholm, Sweden
 fiorenzo@vna.physto.se*

ABSTRACT

I describe a new method for computing trace anomalies in quantum field theories which makes use of path-integrals for particles moving in curved spaces. After presenting the main ideas of the method, I discuss how it is connected to the first quantized approach of particle theory and to heat kernel techniques.

1. Introduction

Systems coupled to gravity in a Weyl invariant way (i.e. invariant under local rescalings of the metric) have a vanishing trace of the energy-momentum tensor. If one keeps the metric as a background, then the system is invariant under the conformal group, defined as the set of coordinate transformations preserving the infinitesimal length up to a local scale factor. However, anomalies may appear upon quantization. In particular, performing a quantization which preserves general coordinate invariance brings in an anomaly in the Weyl symmetry. This is seen as a non-zero trace for the quantum energy-momentum tensor. There are many ways to compute trace anomalies in quantum field theory (Feynman diagrams, heat kernel techniques, Fujikawa's approach, current algebras, etc.). Recently, a new method has been proposed.^{1,2} It employs the approach of Fujikawa³ and can be described as follows. From the path-integral one derives the Ward identities due to the Weyl symmetry. Possible anomalies correspond to the non-invariance of the functional measure and a Fujikawa jacobian is obtained. This jacobian is suitably regulated by requiring general coordinate invariance and "consistency" in the sense of Wess and Zumino. Then, its computation is simplified enormously by noticing that it can be interpreted as a trace over the Hilbert space of a "fictitious" quantum mechanical model having the given regulator as the hamiltonian. This trace is computed using a path-integral representation. Thus, one of the results in the analysis of refs. 1 and 2 is a method for computing perturbatively path-integrals in curved spaces. The method just described is a generalization to trace anomalies of the ideas due to Alvarez-Gaumé and Witten for computing chiral anomalies.⁴

However, contrary to the chiral anomaly case, where a semiclassical approximation is enough to get the complete result valid in any space-time dimensions, for trace anomalies one has to work harder: one must perform a $(k + 1)$ -loop computation in quantum mechanics to obtain the (one-loop) trace anomaly in $2k$ dimensions. As already remarked in ref. 1, the “fictitious” quantum mechanics employed in these computations can be given a more physical meaning by relating it to the first quantization of the given quantum field theory. This point of view is interesting since after the works of Bern and Kosower⁵, who derived string inspired Feynman rules to compute one-loop diagrams in quantum field theory, and Strassler⁶, who re-derived these rules from first quantization, it seems important to look again at the first quantized picture. Understanding how the perturbative expansion is organized in first quantization may suggest several technical simplifications with respect to standard text-book rules for computing loop diagrams. A simplification with respect to standard Feynman rules is already seen here, in the computation of trace anomalies. The first quantized approach is also instructive for guessing possible generalizations of the renormalization group equations to string theory.⁷

2. The Method

A typical example of a classically Weyl invariant system is given by the massless Klein-Gordon field ϕ coupled to gravity

$$S[\phi, g] = \int d^D x \sqrt{g} \frac{1}{2} (g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - \xi R \phi^2) \quad (1)$$

where $\xi = \frac{D-2}{4(D-1)}$, D denotes the space-time dimensions and R is the curvature scalar. To quantize the Klein-Gordon field, one may consider the euclidean path-integral

$$Z[g] = e^{-W[g]} = \int \mathcal{D}\phi e^{-S[\phi, g]}. \quad (2)$$

One derives the anomalous Ward identities for the Weyl symmetry by performing a dummy change of integration variables $\phi \rightarrow \phi' = \phi + \delta\phi$, where $\delta\phi = \frac{2-D}{2}\sigma\phi$ is an infinitesimal Weyl transformation with parameter σ , and using the invariance of $S[\phi, g]$. If the Fujikawa variables $\tilde{\phi} = g^{\frac{1}{4}}\phi$ are used as integration variables to avoid gravitational anomalies, one obtains the following Ward identity for the effective action $W[g]$

$$\delta W[g] = \int d^D x \sqrt{g} \sigma \langle \Theta \rangle = -\text{“Tr}(\sigma)\text{”} = -\lim_{\beta \rightarrow 0} \text{Tr}(\sigma e^{\beta(\nabla^2 + \xi R)}) \quad (3)$$

where Θ denotes the trace of the energy-momentum tensor and where the last step describes the regularization of the infinite dimensional functional trace, β being the regulating parameter and $(\nabla^2 + \xi R)$ the consistent and general coordinate invariant regulator. The trace on the right hand side of this chain of identities can be evaluated using a path-integral representation

$$\text{Tr}(\sigma e^{-\beta H}) = \int_{PBC} (\mathcal{D}q) \sigma e^{-S[q]} \quad (4)$$

where I have identified $H = -(\nabla^2 + \xi R)$ as the quantum hamiltonian for a particle propagating in curved space for an euclidean time β and with periodic boundary conditions (*PBC*). In this formula the action for the particle is given by

$$S[q] = \int_0^\beta dt \left(\frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu}(q) \dot{q}^\mu \dot{q}^\nu + V(q) \right) \quad (5)$$

where $V(q)$ is a scalar potential to be specified shortly, and the functional measure is the general covariant one $(\mathcal{D}q) = \prod_t \sqrt{g(q(t))} d^D q(t)$. As it stands, the path-integral is still a formal expression as one must tell how to compute it. It is known that different prescriptions for discretizing the path-integral correspond in canonical quantization to different orderings of coordinates and momenta in the quantum hamiltonian. Therefore, one has to identify correctly the hamiltonian associated with the chosen discretization. The strategy followed in refs. 1 and 2 was to compute the path-integral perturbatively in β (used as a loop-counting parameter) and expanding the quantum fluctuations of the coordinates $q^\mu(t)$ around the classical path $q_{cl}^\mu(t)$ in a sine series

$$q^\mu(t) = q_{cl}^\mu(t) + q_{qu}^\mu(t), \quad q_{qu}^\mu(t) = \sum_{n=1}^M q_n^\mu \sin \frac{\pi n t}{\beta}. \quad (6)$$

The truncation of the mode expansion at a fixed mode M acts as a discretization while the measure $(\mathcal{D}q)$ is expressed in terms of the Fourier coefficients q_n^μ . The continuum limit is achieved by letting $M \rightarrow \infty$. Also, ghost fields were used to exponentiate the non-trivial part of the measure, so that standard perturbation theory could be applied (by getting propagators from the quadratic part of the action and vertices from the rest). Using Riemann normal coordinate to simplify computations, it can be checked that the transition amplitudes given by this path-integral satisfies an euclidean Schroedinger equation (i.e. a heat equation) with hamiltonian $H = -(\nabla^2 + \xi R)$ once the scalar potential $V = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{4} - \xi)R$ is used in (5). Having made explicit the relation between the canonical and lagrangian quantization for the particle in a curved background, one can proceed and compute the trace anomalies in (3). Since the path-integral measure expressed in terms of the Fourier coefficients q_n^μ carries a normalization factor $A = (2\pi\beta)^{-\frac{D}{2}}$, one has to compute the $(\frac{D}{2} + 1)$ -loop “vacuum” graphs to cancel the β -dependence of the measure and obtain a finite result (in the loop expansion propagators carry a factor β and vertices a factor β^{-1}). This way the trace anomalies were computed in two and four dimensions, and for spin $\frac{1}{2}$ and 1 as well, reproducing the known values.

3. Connection to First Quantization

I have described a method for computing trace anomalies in quantum field theories which makes use of path-integrals for non-relativistic particles moving in curved spaces. In such a pragmatic approach, one considers the auxiliary quantum mechanical system, the particle in a curved background, to be of a fictitious, non-physical

nature, as it is usually regarded in the Schwinger-De Witt heat kernel approach.⁸ At a closer inspection, however, one can reinterpret the method as being the evaluation of the Weyl anomaly using the first quantized description of a scalar field coupled to gravity. This interpretation can be extended also to the Schwinger-De Witt method for calculating the Klein-Gordon propagator in curved spaces.⁸ In that method, the Klein-Gordon propagator is obtained by integrating over the proper time a kernel which satisfies the heat equation. Such an equation is solved by an ansatz depending on certain coefficients a_k , called sometimes Seeley-De Witt coefficients. The solution is then used to construct the one-loop effective action $W[g]$. Computing the Weyl variation of the effective action, one obtains precisely the Seeley-De Witt coefficient a_k as the trace anomaly in $2k$ space-time dimensions. The method described in the previous section gives a way of computing directly the Seeley-De Witt coefficients by using the path-integral representation of the solution to the heat equation. As for the heat kernel method used for constructing the effective action, it can be derived from first quantization by computing

$$W[g] = \int_{PBC} \frac{(\mathcal{D}e)(\mathcal{D}q)}{\text{vol Diff}} e^{-S[e,q]} \quad (7)$$

where $S[e, q]$ is the action for a scalar particle obtained by covariantizing (5) on the world-line with the help of the einbein e . After gauge fixing, the integration over the einbeins reproduces the integration over the proper time. These arguments are enough to describe here the relation between the given method for calculating trace anomalies, the heat-kernel techniques of Schwinger-De Witt and the first quantized approach to particle theory. Further details will be presented in a future publication.⁹

Acknowledgements

I wish to thank P. van Nieuwenhuizen for his collaboration on this project.

References

- [1] F. Bastianelli, *Nucl. Phys.* B376 (1992) 113.
- [2] F. Bastianelli and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, *Nucl. Phys.* B389 (1993) 53.
- [3] K. Fujikawa, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 44 (1980) 1733; *Phys. Rev.* D23 (1981) 2262.
- [4] L. Alvarez-Gaumé, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 90 (1983) 161;
L. Alvarez-Gaumé and E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* B234 (1984) 269.
- [5] Z. Bern and D.A. Kosower, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 66 (1991) 1669, *Nucl. Phys.* B379 (1992) 451; Z. Bern, “*String-based perturbative methods for gauge theories*”, preprint UCLA/93/TEP/5 and hep-ph/9304249.
- [6] M.J. Strassler, *Nucl. Phys.* B385 (1992) 145.
- [7] A.M. Polyakov, “*A few projects in string theory*”, preprint PUPT-1394 and hep-th/9304146.
- [8] B.S. De Witt, in *Relativity, Groups and Topology II*, ed. B.S. De Witt and R. Stora (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984).
- [9] F. Bastianelli, in preparation.